

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 and 15-20 are pending. By this Amendment, claim 14 is canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 13 are amended. No new matter is added.

Claim 7 is amended to include a feature from claim 8. Claim 8 is amended to delete the feature added to claim 7, and claim 13 is amended to include the features of claim 14. Support for the amendment to claim 1 is found throughout the specification, drawing figures, and claims as filed.

For the following reasons, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

I. REPLY TO REJECTION

On page 2, item 2 of the Office Action, claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over a reference to Vazquez et al. (hereinafter “Vazquez”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,829,779 to Perlman (hereinafter “Perlman”). The rejection of canceled claim 14 is moot. The rejection of claims 1-13 and 15-20 is respectfully traversed.

It is respectfully submitted that Vazquez and Perlman, even when combined, fail to disclose or suggest each and every feature of at least independent claims 1, 7 and 13. Specifically, none of Vazquez, Perlman or their combination disclose or suggest successively selecting and graphically marking portions of the plurality of parts of the video apparatus in an initial predetermined display order, as recited in claim 1; graphically marking at least one image

of predetermined portions of the video apparatus, as recited in claim 7; or a manual display unit that is adapted to graphically mark an image of at least one predetermined portion of the video apparatus, as recited in claim 13.

In the software interface section located on page 562 of the Vazquez reference, features of Vazquez's interactive system are disclosed. In Vazquez, the operator of the system can select, a product to be assembled, a full screen picture of all of the assembly components required by the final product, or a full screen picture of the completed assemblage, by clicking on a relevant picture (items 1-3 of Vazquez). Vazquez's system also provides directions and illustration on assembly operation in executable order or repeats the instructions if requested (items 4 and 5 of Vazquez). The input device used in Vazquez is a mouse (item 6 of Vazquez). However, Vazquez fails to disclose graphically marking portions of the video apparatus. As acknowledged in the Office Action, Vazquez fails to even disclose a video apparatus.

Perlman, which is applied for the alleged teaching of a video apparatus having a plurality of parts, merely discloses a general description of how instructions are provided for connecting various consumer electronic devices. The thrust of Perlman is to automatically determine if the correct connections were made by the user, providing further instructions if incorrect connections were made, determining whether required cables for the connections are provided or available, and if not provided or available, optionally purchasing the required cables on line (see, for example, col. 4, lines 49 through col. 5, line 14, especially col. 4, line 61 through col. 5, line 14 of Perlman). In providing instructions to connect, Perlman provides text or graphical

images describing the proper way to connect various consumer electronic devices. The devices then transmit signals over the connection to confirm whether functional connections are made (see, for example, col. 5, lines 15-24 and col. 8, lines 38-67 of Perlman). In the above passages or in the passages cited by the Examiner, there is no disclosure or suggestion of graphically marking portions of a video apparatus, as called for in the claims.

Further, none of Perlman's figures, and especially Figs. 1-6, show the graphical marking. Figs. 1-6 of Perlman merely show various connecting configurations of various electronic devices, or graphical representations of the required connections. There is no indication of graphically marking portions of a video apparatus.

Thus, none of Vazquez, Perlman, or their combination, disclose or suggest successively selecting and graphically marking portions of the plurality of parts of the video apparatus in an initial predetermined display order, as recited in claim 1; graphically marking at least one image of predetermined portions of the video apparatus, as recited in claim 7; or a manual display unit that is adapted to graphically mark an image of at least one predetermined portion of the video apparatus, as recited in claim 13. Thus, independent claims 1, 7, and 13 are patentable over the applied references and their combination. Claims 2-6 and 19, which depend from claim 1, claims 8-12, and 20, which depend from claim 7, and claims 15-18, which depend from claim 13, are likewise patentable over the applied references for at least the reasons discussed above and for the additional features they recite. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

II. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Seth S. Kim**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Carol L. Druzbeck, Esq.
Registration No. 40,287
Seth S. Kim, Esq.
Registration No. 54,577

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DYK/SSK:knv
Date: JULY 8, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610